









UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,851	09/28/2001	David Hugh Muir	29757/P-510	4994
4743	7590 12/18/2003		EXAMINER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			MARKS, CHRISTINA M	
6300 SEARS				D + DED > 1111 (DED
233 S. WACKER DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, I	O, IL 60606			

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			_		
	Application No.	ication No. Applicant(s)			
Office Astion Commence	09/966,851	MUIR, DAVID HUGH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	C. Marks	3713	6		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Oc	ctober 2003				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction access access and the correction access access access access access and the correction access ac	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 C	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(at sentence of the specification of the certification of the specification application has been received to priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this Nationa ed. e) (to a provisiona r in an Application ceived.) and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet.		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal 8 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/966,851

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: A gaming apparatus with a game that allows a player to choose between only one of a first win evaluation method that is three dimensional and a second win evaluation method that is different than the first. Thus, Species I allows the player to choose between win evaluation methods. Support for Species I can be found, for example, in FIG 12. Claims 1-3 and 8-18 are restricted to Species I.

Species II: A gaming apparatus with a game that allows a player playing a game with symbols in more than one geometric plane to generate a transparent overlay indicative of a three dimensional game option by user input. Thus Species II allows paylines that can be positioned by the user. Support for Species II can be found, for example, in FIG 23. Claims 4-7 and 19-21 are restricted to Species II.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no claims generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/966,851

Art Unit: 3713

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Due to the distinct species presented in this restriction, a telephone attempt has not made because it has been determined a written restriction more readily identifies the issue of restriction at hand.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Marks whose telephone number is (703)-305-7497. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:30AM - 5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa J Walberg can be reached on (703)-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1148.

cmm

December 15, 2003

MICHAEL O'NEILL BRIMARY EXAMINER